the high melting point (531-532 K) and very low solubility of the crystalline material in organic solvents. The crystal structure of the related compound, racemic 3,6-dimethyl-1,4-dioxane-2,5-dione, has been reported (van Hummel, Harkema, Kohn & Feijen, 1982) and it also has close C—H…O contacts [H…O 2.33 (2) Å]. The occurrence and the geometry of C—H…X-type H bonds, where X is O, N or Cl, has been discussed by Taylor & Kennard (1982).

Funding for this project was supplied by the Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-626).

### References

CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891-1898.

- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.GADOL, S. M. & DAVIS, R. E. (1982). Organometallics, 1, 1607-1613.
- HUMMEL, G. J. VAN, HARKEMA, S., KOHN, F. E. & FEIJEN, J. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1679–1681.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV, p. 55. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- POJMAN, J. (1988). Theory of Polymer Interchange Reactions and Experimental Investigations of Mandelic Acid Polymerization. PhD Dissertation. Univ. of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1987). SHELXTL-PLUS. Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- TAYLOR, R. & KENNARD, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1127-1129

# Structure of a Dimer Ketone Formed *via* Iron Carbonyl-Promoted Coupling of 7-Phenylnorbornadiene with Carbon Monoxide

BY WILLIAM H. WATSON\* AND ANTE NAGL<sup>†</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas 76129, USA

# ALAN P. MARCHAND\* AND V. VIDYASAGAR

Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Box 5068, Denton, Texas 76203, USA

## AND DAVID B. GOODIN

Department of Chemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA

(Received 20 November 1989; accepted 20 December 1989)

Abstract. trans-exo-10,11-Diphenyl-1,4,4a,4b,5,8,8a, 9a-octahydro-1,4:5,8-dimethanofluoren-9-one,  $C_{27}H_{24}O$ ,  $M_r = 364\cdot49$ , triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ ,  $a = 9\cdot673$  (2),  $b = 9\cdot748$  (2),  $c = 12\cdot522$  (3) Å,  $\alpha = 91\cdot80$  (2),  $\beta = 107\cdot12$  (2),  $\gamma = 118\cdot23$  (1)°,  $V = 973\cdot6$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_x = 1\cdot243$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.71073 Å,  $\mu = 0.68$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 388, T = 295 K, R = 0.0642 for 1666 reflections. The two norbornene rings are transfused to a cyclopentanone ring. The norbornene bonds adjacent to the carbonyl average 1.577 (2) Å while those attached opposite the carbonyl average 1.558 (2) Å. The  $sp^2$  C atoms of the norbornene moieties are pyramidalized with the two double bonds exhibiting folding angles of about 10 (1)°.

**Experimental.** A small, colorless crystal of dimensions  $0.07 \times 0.15 \times 0.60$  mm was mounted on a

Nicolet  $R3m/\mu$  update of a P2<sub>1</sub> diffractometer; data collected in the  $\omega$  mode ( $3 \le 2\theta \le 45^{\circ}$ ) using a variable scan rate (4 to  $29.3^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup>) and graphite-monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation; lattice parameters from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections  $(25.46 \le 2\theta \le 36.40^\circ)$ ; no systematic absences and intensity statistics consistent with space group  $P\overline{I}$ ; monitored reflections (021 and  $2\overline{12}$ ) showed a random maximum 2% variation during data collection;  $-10 \le h \le 9$ ;  $-10 \le k \le 10$ ;  $0 \le l \le 13$ ; 3540 reflections measured, 2576 independent ( $R_{merge} =$ 0.008), 1666 with  $I \ge 3\sigma(I)$ ; Lorentz-polarization corrections,  $\psi$ -scan-based empirical absorption correction (transmission factors 0.895 to 0.956); structure solved by direct methods, H atoms located in difference maps and refined with isotropic thermal parameters; final R = 0.0642, wR = 0.0551 for 349 parameters (R = 0.0885, wR = 0.0673 for all 2576 observed reflections), S = 1.298,  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.021$ , largest peaks in the final difference map of -0.27

<sup>\*</sup> Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

<sup>†</sup> On leave from Faculty of Technology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Yugoslavia.

Table	1.	Atomic	coordinates	$(\times 10^{4})$	and	isotropic
		therma	l parameters	$(Å^2 \times 1)$	0 <sup>3</sup> )	-

			•	
	x	у	Z	U
C(1)	3313 (5)	4046 (4)	3080 (3)	39 (2
C(2)	4094 (5)	4260 (5)	2292 (4)	50 (2
C(3)	5127 (5)	3645 (6)	2298 (4)	60 (3
C(4)	5396 (6)	2803 (5)	3130 (4)	61 (3
C(5)	4657 (6)	2591 (5)	3929 (4)	61 (3
C(6)	3627 (5)	3224 (5)	3925 (4)	53 (3
C(1A)	- 2695 (5)	7920 (4)	1913 (4)	42 (2
C(2A)	- 2969 (5)	8459 (5)	2821 (4)	51 (3
C(3A)	-4170 (6)	8907 (5)	2664 (4)	59 (3
C(4A)	- 5090 (6)	8832 (5)	1582 (5)	63 (3
C(5A)	- 4877 (6)	8289 (6)	656 (4)	64 (3
C(6A)	- 3650 (5)	7841 (5)	823 (4)	56 (3
C(7)	- 422 (5)	2376 (5)	2299 (4)	47 (2
C(8)	-22(5)	2631 (5)	3395 (4)	49 (3
C(9)	1241 (5)	4376 (4)	3854 (3)	43 (2
C(10)	219 (5)	5255 (4)	3461 (3)	41 (2
C(11)	1295 (5)	7047 (4)	3851 (3)	40 (2
O(11)	1969 (4)	7733 (3)	4838 (2)	60 (2
C(12)	1406 (4)	7853 (4)	2856 (3)	39 (2
C(13)	460 (5)	8818 (4)	2701 (3)	41 (2
C(14)	743 (5)	9585 (4)	1698 (4)	44 (2
C(15)	-132 (5)	8466 (5)	772 (3)	45 (3
C(16)	-1026 (5)	6898 (4)	1142 (3)	41 (2
C(17)	369 (5)	6530 (4)	1771 (3)	39 (2
C(18)	- 293 (4)	4912 (4)	2154 (3)	38 (2
C(19)	538 (5)	3934 (4)	1968 (3)	41 (2
C(20)	2172 (4)	4731 (4)	3017 (3)	37 (2
C(21)	-1359 (5)	7453 (4)	2149 (3)	39 (2)

\* Equivalent isotropic U defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized  $U_{ii}$  tensor.

and 0.20 e Å<sup>-3</sup>;  $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$  minimized with  $w = [\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.00047F_o^2]^{-1}$ . All computer programs supplied by Nicolet for Desktop 30 Microeclipse and Nova 4/C configuration (Nicolet Instrument Corporation, 1986); atomic scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion corrections from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Table 1 lists atomic positional parameters while Table 2 lists selected bond distances and valence angles.\* Fig. 1 shows a thermal ellipsoid plot.

Related literature. The Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> promoted reactions of norbornadiene derivatives with carbon monoxide have been described (Marchand, Earlywine, & Heeg, 1986; Marchand & Hayes, 1977; Marchand, Goodin, Hossain & van der Helm, 1984; Flippen-Anderson, Gilardi, George, Marchand & Dave, 1989). The structures of a hydrogenated o-methoxyphenyl derivative (Marchand, Goodin, Hossain & van der Helm, 1984) and of a Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> complex of a related exocyclic diene (Watson, Nagl, Marchand & Chenera, 1988) may be used for comparison.

Table 2. Bond leng	ths (Å) and angles (°)
--------------------	------------------------

<b>C</b> (1) <b>C</b> (2)			
C(1) - C(2)	1.375 (7)	C(1)-C(6)	1.396 (6)
C(1) - C(20)	1.523 (7)	C(2) - C(3)	1.386 (9)
C(3) - C(4)	1.386 (8)	Ciá)-Cisí	1.360 (0)
C(5) - C(6)	307 (0)		1.202 (7)
		C(1A) = C(2A)	1.363 (7)
C(IA) - C(OA)		C(1A) - C(21)	1.218 (8)
$C(2A) \rightarrow C(3A)$ 1	·388 (9)	C(3A) - C(4A)	1.362 (7)
$C(4A) \rightarrow C(5A)$	.368 (9)	C(5A) - C(6A)	1.412 (9)
C(7) - C(8) 1	.293 (7)	C(7) - C(10)	1.507 (6)
C(R) = C(R)	509 (5)		1 507 (0)
	-508 (5)	(9) - (10)	1.2/9 (/)
C(9) - C(20)	-522 (7)	C(10)C(11)	1.517 (5)
C(10)-C(18) 1	.•539 (5)	C(11)—O(11)	1.209 (4)
C(11) - C(12) 1	498 (6)	C(12) - C(13)	1.575 (8)
$\dot{\mathbf{C}}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\mathbf{x}}$	.543 (5)	C(13) - C(14)	1.510 (6)
C(12) - C(21)	535 (4)	C(13) - C(14)	1.310 (0)
	(4)	C(14) - C(15)	1.312 (5)
C(15) - C(16) 1	.•526 (6)	C(16)—C(17)	1.556 (7)
C(16)-C(21) 1	.•531 (7)	C(17)-C(18)	1.549 (6)
C(18)-C(19) 1	·560 (8)	C(19) - C(20)	1-542 (5)
	( )	-()	
C(2) = C(1) = C(6)	119.1 (5)	C(2) C(1) C(20)	110 4 (4)
	110.1 (3)	C(2) - C(1) - C(20)	119.4 (4)
C(0) - C(1) - C(20)	122.5 (4)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	121.9 (5)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	119.1 (5)	C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	120.3 (6)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	120.5 (5)	C(1) - C(6) - C(5)	120.1 (5)
$C(2A) \rightarrow C(1A) \rightarrow C(6)$	4) 118.1 (5)	$C(2A) \rightarrow C(1A) \rightarrow C(1A)$	(21) 119.2 (4)
C(64) - C(14) - C(2)	1) 122.7 (5)	C(1A) = C(2A) = C(1A)	(21) 11) 2 $(4)$
C(0A) = C(2A) = C(A)	(1) 1227(3)	C(1A) = C(2A) = C(1A)	(3A) 122.0 (4)
(12A)-C(3A)-C(4)	4) 199.0 (6)	C(3A) - C(4A) - C	(5A) 121·1 (6)
C(4A) - C(5A) - C(6A)	4) 119.6 (5)	C(1A) - C(6A) - C(6A)	(5A) 120·2 (5)
C(8)-C(7)-C(19)	108·2 (3)	C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	107.7 (4)
C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	105.2 (3)	C(8) - C(9) - C(20)	101.0 (4)
C(10) - C(9) - C(20)	100.1 (3)	$C(\hat{y}) \rightarrow C(\hat{y}) \rightarrow C(\hat{y})$	112.8 (3)
C(9) - C(10) - C(18)	102.9 (4)	$C(1) \rightarrow C(10) \rightarrow C(10)$	106.4(3)
$C(0) \rightarrow C(1) \rightarrow O(1)$	124.3 (4)	C(10) = C(11) = C(11)	(0) 100 + (0)
O(11) - O(11) = O(11)	1245(4)	C(10) - C(11) - C(11)	(2) 111.5 (3)
	124.5 (3)	C(11) - C(12) - C(12)	(4)
C(1) = C(12) = C(17)	106-8 (3)	C(13) - C(12) - C(12)	$(7)  102.7 \ (3)$
C(12) - C(13) - C(14)	) 105·1 (4)	C(12) - C(13) - C(2)	21) 100.1 (3)
C(14) - C(13) - C(21)	101-1 (3)	C(13) - C(14) - C(14)	5) 108.1 (3)
C(14) - C(15) - C(16)	106.9 (4)	C(15)-C(16)-C(1	7) 105.5 (3)
C(15) - C(16) - C(21)	101.0 (4)	c(17) - c(16) - c(16)	101.2(3)
C(12) - C(17) - C(16)	102.5 (4)	C(12) = C(17) = C(17)	107.6(3)
C(16) - C(17) - C(18)	102.5(4)	C(12) - C(17) - C(17)	(0) 1070(3)
C(10) - C(17) - C(18)	114.0 (3)	C(10) - C(18) - C(18)	7) 107.8 (3)
C(10) - C(18) - C(19)	$102 \cdot 2(3)$	C(1) - C(18) - C(18)	9) 115-3 (4)
C(/) - C(19) - C(18)	104.9 (4)	C(7)-C(19)-C(20	) 100.1 (3)
C(18)-C(19)-C(20)	101.6 (3)	C(1)-C(20)-C(9)	117.8 (4)
C(1) - C(20) - C(19)	114.8 (3)	C(9) - C(20) - C(19)	n 93.0 (3)
$C(1A) \rightarrow C(21) \rightarrow C(13)$	) 115.9 (4)	C(1A) = C(21) = C(21)	16) 110.0 (2)
C(13) - C(21) - C(15)		(12)	10) 115.0 (3)
(10)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		



Fig. 1. Dimer ketone with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size.

We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation (WHW P-074, APM B-963), the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR-88-0132 to APM), the National Science Foundation (CHE-8514367 to WHW), and the Texas Christian University and

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52567 (19 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

University of North Texas Research Committees for financial support.

#### References

FLIPPEN-ANDERSON, J. L., GILARDI, R., GEORGE, C., MARCHAND, A. P. & DAVE, P. R. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1171-1174. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1129-1131

- MARCHAND, A. P., EARLYWINE, A. D. & HEEG, M. J. (1986). J. Org. Chem. 51, 4096–4100.
- MARCHAND, A. P., GOODIN, D. B., HOSSAIN, M. R. & VAN DER HELM, D. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49, 2897–2900.
- MARCHAND, A. P. & HAYES, B. R. (1977). Tetrahedron Lett. pp. 1027–1028.
- Nicolet Instrument Corporation (1986). SHELXTL for Desktop 30 (Microeclipse), PN-269-104340, April, 1986. Nicolet Instrument Corp., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- WATSON, W. H., NAGL, A., MARCHAND, A. P. & CHENERA, B. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 806–808.

# Structures of (4*R*)-*cis*-4,5-Dihydro-2-methyl-4,5-diphenyl-6*H*-cyclopenta[*b*]furan-6-one and *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-3,4-diphenyl-2,2'-bifuran-5-amine

## BY W. WATT,\* C. R. MUCHMORE AND A. YAMASHITA

### Research Laboratories, The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, MI 49007, USA

(Received 26 June 1989; accepted 10 January 1990)

Abstract. (I)  $C_{20}H_{16}O_2$ ,  $M_r = 288.35$ , orthorhombic, a = 8.665 (1),b = 8.808(1).  $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ , c =19.766 (1) Å, V = 1508.6 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_r =$ 1.27 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Cu  $K\alpha$ ) = 1.5418 Å,  $\mu$  = 5.6 cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 608, T = 123 K, R = 0.056 for 1181 unique observed reflections. (II)  $C_{22}H_{19}NO_2$ ,  $M_r = 329.40$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 13.076 (4), b = 8.203 (3), c =19.605 (2) Å,  $\beta = 124.95$  (3)°, V = 1723.6 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z =4,  $D_x = 1.27 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda(Cu K\alpha) = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu =$ 5.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 696, T = 123 K, R = 0.055 for 2038 unique observed reflections. The C(4)—C(5)bond distance in structure (I) is unusually long at 1.59 Å. The two furan rings in structure (II) are coplanar; the dihedral angle between the planes is 1.9°.

**Experimental.** A clear, thin plate of dimensions 0.03  $\times$  0.13  $\times$  0.26 mm (I), and a clear, chunky plate of dimensions 0.10  $\times$  0.08  $\times$  0.05 mm (II) were used for intensity measurements on Syntex  $P2_1$  (I) and P1 (II) diffractometers controlled by a Harris computer. Intensity measurements were made using graphite-monochromatized Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation. The  $\theta/2\theta$  stepscan technique was used with a scan speed of  $2^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup> and a scan width over  $3.4^{\circ}$  to  $2\theta_{max} = 138^{\circ}$ . Ten reflections monitored periodically showed no loss of intensity during the data collection. Of the 1626 (I), 2892 (II) unique reflections measured, 1181 (I), 2038 (II) had intensities greater than  $3\sigma(I)$ . Standard deviations in the intensities were approxi-

0108-2701/90/061129-03\$03.00

mated by the equation:  $\sigma^2(I) = \sigma^2(I)_{\text{counting statistics}} +$  $(DI)^2$  where the coefficient [D = 0.0163 (I), 0.0128](II)] of I was calculated from the variations in intensities of the monitored reflections. Range of hkl:  $h \to 10, k \to 10, l \to 22$  (I),  $h - 15 \to 12, k \to 9$ ,  $10 \rightarrow 18$  (II). Unit-cell parameters were determined accurately by least-squares fit of Cu  $K\alpha_1 2\theta$  values  $[\lambda(Cu K\alpha_1) = 1.5406 \text{ Å}]$  for 25 high 2 $\theta$  reflections  $(120 < 2\theta < 138^\circ)$  (Duchamp, 1977). Lorentz and polarization corrections appropriate for a monochromator with 50% perfect character were applied but no correction for absorption was made. The structures were solved by direct methods, using RANTAN81 (Yao Jia-Xing, 1981) (I) and DIREC (Duchamp, 1984a) (II). H atoms found in difference maps were very close to positions generated using planar or tetrahedral geometry, so generated positions were used. The structure was refined by fullmatrix least squares with all the coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters for non-H atoms included in the refinement. Hydrogen thermal parameters were included in the calculations but not refined. The H atoms, with assigned temperature factors  $0.5 \text{ Å}^2$  higher than the equivalent average isotropic values of the atoms of attachment, were included but only their positions were varied in the refinement. The function minimized in the refinement was  $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$ , where weights w were  $1/\sigma^2(F_o^2)$ . Atomic form factors were from Doyle & Turner (1968), except for hydrogen which was from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). In the final cycle,  $\Delta/\sigma$ was <0.5. The final R, wR were 0.056, 0.081 (I) and © 1990 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.